

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

BARCELO HOMES, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KINSALE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. C20-1719RSL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
REGARDING MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO WITHDRAW AS  
COUNSEL

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs' counsel's "Motion for Leave to Withdraw as Counsel for Barcelo Homes, Inc. and Barcelo Madison Park, LLC" (Dkt. # 39). Defendant has not responded to plaintiffs' counsel's motion.

Plaintiffs' counsel, Harper | Hayes PLLC, has thus fair failed to comply with Local Civil Rule 83.2(b) regarding "Withdrawal of Attorneys." See generally LCR 83.2(b). Where a withdrawal would leave a party unrepresented, as would occur in the instant matter, the motion to withdraw must include the party's address and telephone number. LCR 83.2(b)(1). Plaintiffs' counsel has not satisfied this requirement. See Dkts. # 39, # 40. Additionally, plaintiffs Barcelo Homes, Inc. and Barcelo Madison Park, LLC are business entities, which means that counsel must:


certify to the court that he or she has advised [each] business entity that it is required by law to be represented by an attorney admitted to practice before this court and that failure to obtain a replacement attorney by the date of the

1 withdrawal is effective may result in the dismissal of the business entity's  
2 claims . . .

3 LCR 83.2(b)(4). Plaintiffs' counsel has not made this certification to the Court. See Dkts. # 39,  
4 # 40.

5 Accordingly, plaintiffs' counsel is hereby ORDERED to show cause, within ten (10) days  
6 of the date of this Order, why the motion for leave to withdraw (Dkt. # 39) should not be denied  
7 for failure to comply with the Local Civil Rules.

8 DATED this 23rd day of September, 2021.  
9

10 

11 Robert S. Lasnik  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28